1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 DAVID THEM, CASE NO. C23-5694-KKE 8 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING STIPULATED 9 PROTECTIVE ORDER v. 10 MKS INSTRUMENTS INC, 11 Defendant. 12 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' stipulated motion for a protective order. 13 Dkt. No. 16. The motion does not comply with Local Civil Rule 26(c)(2), which requires that if 14 parties file a proposed stipulated protective order that departs from this district's model protective 15 order, they "must provide the court with a redlined version identifying departures from the model." 16 The parties' motion (Dkt. No. 16) is therefore DENIED without prejudice, subject to re-filing in 17 compliance with Local Civil Rule 26(c)(2). 18 Dated this 5th day of August, 2024. 19 Lymberly X Eanson 20 21 Kymberly K. Evanson United States District Judge 22 23 24